

Indiana Pro Bono Commission 230 East Ohio Street, 4th Floor Indianapolis,IN 46204

Indiana Bar Foundation 230 East Ohio Street, Suite 110 Indianapolis, IN 46204

COMBINED 2002 DISTRICT REPORT, 2004 PRO BONO GRANT APPLICATION, AND 2004 PLAN

Pro Bono District <u>14</u>
Applicant:AMY W. ROTH
Mailing Address: 3303 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 5
City: <u>NEW ALBANY</u> , IN Zip: <u>47150</u>
Phone: 812-945-4123, EXT 24 Fax: 812-945-7290
E-mail address: amy.roth@ilsi.net Web site address: none
Judicial Appointee: J. TERRENCE CODY, JUDGE, FLOYD CIRCUIT COURT
Plan Administrator: <u>AMY W. ROTH</u>
Names of Counties served: <u>CLARK, CRAWFORD, FLOYD, HARRISON, ORANGE</u>
SCOTT, WASHINGTON
Amount of grant received for 2002: \$24,603_
* Amount of grant unused from 2002 and previous years:
* Amount of grant unused but committed to expenses in 2003:
Amount of grant received for 2003: \$21,280
Amount requested for 2004: \$31,975

PRO BONO DISTRICT NUMBER <u>14</u> LETTER OF REPRESENTATION

The following representations, made to the best of our knowledge and belief, are being provided to the Indiana Pro Bono Commission and Indiana Bar Foundation in anticipation of their review and evaluation of our funding request and our commitment and value to our Pro Bono District.

Operation under Rule 6.5

In submitting this application for funding, this district is representing itself as having a Pro Bono Plan, which is pursuant to Rule 6.5 of the Indiana Rules of Professional Conduct. The plan enables attorneys in our district to discharge their professional responsibilities to provide civil legal pro bono services; improves the overall delivery of civil legal services to persons of limited means by facilitating the integration and coordination of services provided by pro bono organizations and other legal assistance organizations in our district; and ensures access to high quality and timely pro bono civil legal services for persons of limited means by (1) fostering the development of new civil legal pro bono programs where needed and (2) supporting and improving the quality of existing civil legal pro bono programs. The plan also fosters the growth of a public service culture within the our district which values civil legal pro bono publico service and promotes the ongoing development of financial and other resources for civil legal pro bono organizations.

We have adhered to Rule 6.5 (f) by having a district pro bono committee composed of:

- A. the judge designated by the Supreme Court to preside;
- B. to the extent feasible, one or more representatives from each voluntary bar association in the district, one representative from each pro bono and legal assistance provider in the district, and one representative from each law school in the district; and
- C. at least two (2) community-at-large representatives, one of whom shall be a present or past recipient of pro bono publico legal services.

We have determined the governance of our district pro bono committee as well as the terms of service of our members. Replacement and succession members are appointed by the judge designated by the Supreme Court.

Pursuant to Rule 6.5 (g) to ensure an active and effective district pro bono program, we:

- A. prepare in written form, on an annual basis, a district pro bono plan, including any county sub-plans if appropriate, after evaluating the needs of the district and making a determination of presently available pro bono services;
- B. select and employ a plan administrator to provide the necessary coordination and administrative support for the district pro bono committee;
- C. implement the district pro bono plan and monitor its results;
- D. submit an annual report to the Commission; and
- E. forward to the Pro Bono Commission for review and consideration any requests which were presented as formal proposals to be included in the district plan but

were rejected by the district committee, provided the group asks for review by the Pro Bono Commission.

Commitment to Pro Bono Program Excellence

We also understand that ultimately the measure of success for a civil legal services program, whether a staffed or volunteer attorney program, is the outcomes achieved for clients, and the relationship of these outcomes to clients' most critical legal needs. We agree to strive for the following hallmarks which are characteristics enhancing a pro bono program's ability to succeed in providing effective services addressing clients' critical needs.

- 1. Participation by the local bar associations. The associations believe the program is necessary and beneficial.
- **2. Centrality of client needs.** The mission of the program is to provide high quality free civil legal services to low-income persons through volunteer attorneys. Client needs drive the program, balanced by the nature and quantity of resources available. The staff and volunteers are respectful of clients and sensitive to their needs.
- **3. Program priorities.** The program engages in a priority-setting process, which determines what types of problems the program will address. Resources are allocated to matters of greatest impact on the client and are susceptible to civil legal resolution. The program calls on civil legal services and other programs serving low-income people to assist in this process.
- **4. Direct representation component.** The core of the program is direct representation in which volunteer attorneys engage in advocacy on behalf of low-income persons. Adjunct programs such as advice clinics, pro se clinics and paralegal assistance are dictated by client needs and support the core program
 - . ** see note below
- 5. Coordination with state and local civil legal service programs and bar associations. The programs work cooperatively with the local funded civil legal services programs. The partnerships between the civil legal services programs and the local bar association results in a variety of benefits including sharing of expertise, coordination of services, and creative solutions to problems faced by the client community.
- **6. Accountability.** The program has mechanisms for evaluating the quality of service it provides. It expects and obtains reporting from participating attorneys concerning the progress/outcome of referred cases. It has the capability to demonstrate compliance with requirements imposed by its funding source(s), and it has a grievance procedure for the internal resolution of disputes between attorneys and clients.
- **7. Continuity.** The program has a form of governance, which ensures the program will survive changes in bar leadership, and has operational guidelines, which enable the program to survive a change in staff.
- **8. Cost-effectiveness.** The program maximizes the level of high quality civil legal services it provides in relationship to the total amount of funding received.
- **9. Minimization of barriers.** The program addresses in a deliberate manner linguistic, sensory, physical and cultural barriers to clients' ability to receive services from the program. The program does not create undue administrative barriers to client access.

- **10. Understanding of ethical considerations.** The program operates in a way which is consistent with the Rules of Professional Conduct; client confidentiality is assured and conflicts of interest are avoided.
- **11. ABA Standards.** The program is designed to be as consistent with the ABA Standards for Programs Providing Civil Pro Bono Legal Services to Persons of Limited Means as possible.

No events, shortages or irregularities have occurred and no facts have been discovered which would make the financial statements provided to you materially inaccurate or misleading. To our knowledge there is nothing reflecting unfavorably upon the honesty or integrity of members of our organization. We have accounted for all known or anticipated operating revenue and expense in preparing our funding request.

We agree to provide human-interest stories promoting Pro Bono activities in a timely manner upon request of the Indiana Bar Foundation or Indiana Pro Bono Commission. We further agree to make ourselves available to meet with the Pro Bono Commission and/or the Indiana Bar Foundation to answer any questions or provide any material requested which serves as verification/source documentation for the submitted information.

Explanation of items stricken from the above Letter of Representation

**#4: District 14 has not, before now, perceived a significant client need for advice clinics; however, this situation is changing as more pro se pleadings are available and need for information/advice on consumer issues is increasing. We have also been dealing with client naiveté in regard to the processes involved in family law. We plan, for 2003/2004, to study the feasibility of offering either one-time issue-specific clinics or ongoing general issue clinics with some of our volunteer lawyers.

It is understood that this Letter does not replace the Grant Agreement or other documents required by the Indiana Bar Foundation or Indiana Pro Bono Commission.

Signatures:	
Judicial Appointee Signature	 Date
Plan Administrator Signature	 Date

2002 REPORT AND 2004 PLAN SUMMARIES

1. In 125 words or less, please write a brief summary of your District's 2002 progress suitable for use in press releases.

"....to volunteer legal time and talents assuring the poor, elderly and disabled representation within our legal system" is the mission of Legal Volunteers, Indiana Judicial District 14. Our basic premise is that every person who requires legal services should have access to an attorney.

This mission was refined in 2002 in a number of ways: the stand-alone administrator, hired in 2001, continued to organize and codify pro bono data on computer files for easier retrieval; an active committee system was developed, with a marketing group producing two brochures—one for information for potential clients, another for active recruitment of attorneys; an aggressive thrust was made to develop the attorney base, particularly in family law. Finally, Legal Volunteers presented a Continuing Legal Education seminar in family law, the biggest area of need.

2. In 125 words or less, please write a brief summary of the 2004 grant request suitable for use in press releases related to any grant award. Suggested areas to cover are: <u>needs</u> to be addressed, methods, target audience, and anticipated outcomes.

2004 will bring no diminishing of need for pro bono services in District 14. We will therefore continue our efforts to recruit attorneys **and** to spread the word about the availability of legal help; this is especially difficult in the more remote rural areas of our district counties. We will continue to refine our procedures so that minimum time will be spent on logistics, and maximum time for client services. This will benefit client and attorney alike. The administrator will work with local attorneys to coordinate their efforts and with the Executive Director and other plan administrators to exchange ideas. Other goals are education of attorneys through a seminar, and education of clients through legal clinics. Eventual filing of a 501 (c)(3) is a long-range goal.

2002 REPORT

In the next two pages, describe your District's 2002 achievements and activities in relation to the plan approved for 2002 funding. It is permissible to include a relevant number of appendices to further describe 2002 achievements. Please number and reference the appendices.

For District 14, 2002 was a year with many accomplishments and some growing pains—normal for an evolving, expanding program. The pro bono arm of District 14 remained under the Legal Services "umbrella" for many purposes, but a separate administrator, paid mostly from IOLTA funds, had just been hired in mid-2001. A number of the early accomplishments were actually enumerated in the report for 2001, which really listed events and activities from 2001 into the first half of 2002. The development of the Advisory Board Committee system was a major effort, with the first steps taken toward enumerating policies and guidelines and the implementation of a long-range plan, including the eventual filing for a 501(c)(3). The Marketing Committee designed new stationery and business cards and designed and distributed brochures to recruit both clients and attorneys. Legal Volunteers, with the cooperation of the Sherman Minton Inn of Court, hosted a significant recognition event. All these happened before the middle of the year. All these events are consistent with the goals listed in the request for 2002 funding.

In the summer, the administrator resigned, effective mid-Fall, in order to go into business in the private sector; while she was able to work ad hoc and part time for most of the rest of the year, there was an inevitable lag in productivity. However, clients were referred, records were kept, and the substance of the pro bono thrust in Districts 12 and 14 was kept at its customary high level. The process of finding a new administrator began late in the year, and no decision was made until the last week of 2002. At that time, and because one of the two most viable candidates was a resident of District 12, the decision was made between the two supervising judges to divide the two districts back into two separate entities, with the time and salaries split proportionately.

The previous administrator did not leave, however, before seeing through to its conclusion a truly major accomplishment, that of hosting a CLE on Family Law in December. This was very well-received, in spite of snowy weather, and led to a remarkably high rate of signup of new attorneys for the pro bono roster. Although this kind of activity involves a huge amount of work, all involved agreed that the return in multiple benefits makes it worth the effort. This is worth repeating at intervals, perhaps in conjunction with a nearby District.

Several pro bono attorneys have been asked to give one-sentence quotes about their pro bono experience. Here are several:

"My Dad taught me that 'honor' is what you do when nobody is watching; Pro Bono is like that—no one is watching, but it is still the right thing to do."

"I take special pride in knowing that, for my pro bono clients, I provide the voice they need in court, and that I speak, not for money, but for love of the law."

"...my clients express almost universal appreciation for my efforts on their behalf; what a gift....what a reward....and what better reinforcement of our love of 'lawyering'".

2002 REPORT, CONTINUED

"I have had a really positive experience with the program and have now signed up the other three members of my firm to accept pro bono family law assignments."

"Legal Volunteers has provided my clients with valuable legal tools, making the seemingly impossible, possible."

"Working with Legal Volunteers has provided an opportunity to redefine local lawyering to include community service through helping Southern Indiana's less fortunate."

"My clients have been cooperative and truly appreciative of the assistance I was able to give them."

<u>2002 VOLUN</u> T	TEER LAWYE	R ACTIVIT	Y	
Legal Service Provider Agency or Organization (Include Bar Associa- tions)	Number of Participating Volunteer Lawyers	Number of Volunteer Lawyer Hours Re- ported on Cases Closed in 2002	Number of Open Volunteer Lawyer Cases	Number of Low-income Citizens Re- ceiving Lim- ited Legal In- formation from Volun- teer Lawyers*
LEGAL VOLUNTEERS OF				
DISTRICT 14 FOR ALL				
CLARK	<u>49</u>	<u>340.1</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>CRAWFORD</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	
<u>FLOYD</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>273</u>	<u>21</u>	
<u>HARRISON</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>68.7</u>	<u>5</u>	
ORANGE	2	0		
SCOTT	11	22.8	<u>1</u> <u>3</u>	
WASHINGTON	9	0	3	
				150 TOTAL: Not itemized

^{*}This category includes Volunteer Lawyer public outreach to low income citizens for a one-time, limited contact such as call-in or walk-in information services, pro-se clinics and panel presentations. Please put in parentheses the number of citizens whose income was not determined.

Definitions:

<u>Case</u>: A legal matter referred to a pro bono attorney volunteer

Participating Volunteer Lawyer: An attorney who has rendered pro bono service to at least one low-income client during the year or accepted a pro bono referral from the identified program.

2002 VOLUNTEER LAWYER ACTIVITY, CONTINUED *

Please list any volunteer lawyer activity category utilized by the organization receiving Pro Bono funding which was not included in the previous table. Include the definition and 2002 statistics for the category.

There are none

In order to make reporting more consistent and concise in the future, please list the various categories used by legal service providers in your district for recording volunteer lawyer activity. Define each category. (If more than one agency or organization has the same category and definition, please list it once, indicating the number of organizations using the category.)

Legal Volunteers utilizes the Legal Services coding method for types of legal issues. We record statistics by attorney, by county, by number of hours expended, and list them in such a way that we can report on the type of case per county if necessary. This latter category is useful for tracking trends in client needs, which in turn is useful in determining service needs per county.

2002 VOLUNTEER LAWYER ACTIVITY BY TYPE OF CASE

Number Of Lawyers Participating In Pro Se Clinics, Call-In Services And Other Limited Informational Activities in 2002: 3 (SEE TEXT)

Type Of Case (Primary Issue)	Total Of All District Legal Service Provider Active Cases Assigned To And Accepted By A Volunteer Lawyer.
Consumer/Finance	12
Education	0
Employment	1
Family	126
Guardianship	5
Juvenile	0
Health	0
Housing	4
Immigration/Naturalization	0
Income Maintenance	0
Individual Rights	1
Mediation	2
Wills & Estates	3
Other (specify) LICENSE	1
Total Number of Cases	155

2004 PLAN AND REQUEST

In the following two pages, describe items or activities planned for 2004 for which funding is requested.

If a special event, such as a pro se clinic, volunteer banquet, or Continuing Legal Education seminar is planned, please include the total projected cost of the event in parenthesis at the end of the descriptive paragraph.

District 14 has a proud and honorable history of pro bono organization, begun well before Rule 6.5, in a joint effort involving local Bar Associations and Indiana Legal Services. The basic sound structure of this thrust has been refined, expanded, and better organized into the present, still evolving, Legal Volunteers program with a separate administrator. Through the history and in the present, with its growing and evolutionary vision, we have tried to keep foremost the substance of what we are doing, which is helping people who have no place else to turn for legal services. The form of what we do is servant to the substance and must enable the program to work, not hinder its progress.

The first priority for the rest of 2003 and certainly for 2004 is to financially support the staff, which right now is one person. There is a serious need for additional staffing, even part-time, to keep us from treading water and to move forward. The second strong priority is to continue to recruit new attorneys to the pro bono cause.

Plans for 2004 fall into two distinct categories: 1) those that can, and will, definitely be carried out, and 2) those that will be considered seriously, with the most feasible, **at this point in time and with the available staff.** definitely undertaken.

Under the first category, those that will definitely happen, are these items:

the administrator will work as closely as possible with the State Executive Director of Pro Bono, en-
couraging the development of more resource material and a computer link to discuss matters with other pro
bono administrators.

....the administrator will develop, as much as is possible, closer relationships with the Bar Associations in the seven counties we serve. This will involve some travel expense: **estimate is \$750.**

....the administrator will develop more cogent procedures for attorneys to obtain pro bono mediation and publicize this; she will also work out a system to assign a mentor to new attorneys who are willing to do family law but are not secure in all the procedures.

....the administrator will continue to develop "grass roots" relationships with pro bono attorneys and work to streamline the follow-up procedures, both mid-case and at the close of a case.

....the administrator will continue the development of a true data base for recording information so that data retrieval will be easier and much more flexible

2004 PLAN AND REQUEST, CONTINUED

the administrator and the Judicial Appointee, along with the Executive Committee, will undertake the
revitalization and organization of the Advisory Board.

....together with the Board, we will aggressively pursue local fund-raising for undesignated funds as well as investigate grant sources for specific, mostly litigation, spending.

....the program will sponsor a Recognition Event for pro bono attorneys, structured in such a way that attorneys who are either single practitioners or in small firms are recognized for giving as much relative time and effort as those in larger firms who may be perceived as doing more. Estimated cost for this event: \$400-500.

The second category, those that will be seriously studied by the Board, Administrator, and Judicial Appointee, deals with these issues:

....the establishing of a clinic system, whether regularly, or intermittently as need is perceived, to utilize volunteer attorneys in giving legal information to clients, either on the phone or in person. This could even take the form of a radio call-in. The cost would be minimal and would vary according to the medium. A walk-in clinic would utilize only extra utilities, some office supplies, and perhaps refreshments for the lawyers helping. A once-a-month clinic could carry a budget item of \$500-600 per year.

....the consideration of presenting a seminar with CLE credit. Since the one held in December of 2002 was on Family Law, we could repeat something like that (it snowed that day, and attendance was lower than anticipated), or investigate some areas of consumer law, a growing pro bono area. A CLE could be done in conjunction with another district, and this possibility and feasibility should be studied. The 2002 CLE required up-front expenditures of \$1000-\$1500, much (if not most) of which was recouped by registration fees.

....the continuing study of the feasibility of pursuing a 501(c)(3) for Legal Volunteers. This has basically been held by the Administrative Board to be a matter of timing; the necessity for doing it is not in question, but it is rather a matter of readiness on the part of the organization. The actual cost of filing would be very low, but setting up the necessary structure and organization to support this independence is a serious cost issue to be considered.

2004 PRO BONO DISTRICT ACTIVITIES

Please check the activities, which your district organization will provide during 2004 to support the pro bono efforts of the attorneys in your district. If the activity is provided by another organization in your district, please put a plus sign (+) in the blank following the activity. X Providing intake and screening of prospective clients X Providing referral of prospective clients X Matching cases with individual attorney experience X Establishing and/or maintaining specialized panels of volunteer lawyers based on area of practice emphasis X Providing resources for litigation and out-of-pocket expenses X Providing legal education and training for pro bono attorneys in areas of practice emphasis useful in providing pro bono civil legal service X Providing the availability of consultation with attorneys whose practice concentration is in an area of law about which a volunteer lawyer is providing pro bono civil legal service (mentoring) X Providing malpractice insurance for volunteer pro bono lawyers + X Establishing and/or maintaining procedures to ensure adequate monitoring and follow-up X Establishing and/or maintaining procedures to measure client satisfaction X Recognizing pro bono civil legal service by lawyers X Providing community outreach, legal education services or programs X Other: Hands-on client management in cooperation with the pro bono attorney.

Other

2004 PRO BONO DISTRICT ACTIVITIES, continued

- 1. List the <u>joint</u> efforts, activities or programs in which your district organization will be involved. Please include the name of the other organization(s), a brief description of the activity and a description of the resources provided to the effort by all participating organizations.
- A. We will study the feasibility of joining with bar associations in various counties, particularly the most populous ones in the district--Clark, Floyd, and Harrison—to provide clinics and other one-time services for clients in the areas demonstrating need: consumer issues, especially bankruptcy; and family law issues, including the process involved in obtaining a divorce. There is a demonstrated need also for instruction in pro se pleadings. This could be a joint logistical effort, with attorneys not currently involved in the pro bono program also participating. This could also be undertaken in conjunction with our "sister" District 12.
- B. Legal Services will continue to provide, for the foreseeable future, housing for the program, and support services such as intake, determination of eligibility, financial recordkeeping, other in-kind services, not to mention advice and mentoring.
- C. This District would like to sponsor, or help sponsor, a CLE during 2004. This could be done with bar associations helping or with the cooperation of the pro bono organizations in other districts.
 - 2. Number of cases in your district awaiting assignment to a Volunteer Pro Bono Attorney as of the date this report is prepared: **2 known**
 - 3. Percentage estimate of the types of cases awaiting assignment: 100% domestic violence
 - 4. List the efforts that will be made to recruit new pro bono volunteer lawyers:

We are mounting a major fund-raising thrust, with letters going to all bar association members, plus any other attorneys known to our committee members. These letters will also make a strong case for pro bono work and enclose a pro bono sign-up form.

In addition, we have attorneys in our more rural outlying counties who have made it their personal "cause" to sign up as many persons to do pro bono as possible. This has been a tremendous help in the past and will continue into the future.

2004 DISTRICT BUDGET FORM In-Kind Grants 2003 Revised & Esti-**COST CATEGORY IOLTA \$** OTHER DONATED TOTAL mated \$ A. Personnel Costs 1. Plan Administrator 0 0 \$20,000 \$24,500 \$24,500 0 2. Lawyers 0 0 0 0 3. Paralegals 0 0 0 4. Others (Clerical) \$ 5,200 0 0 \$ 5,200 0 5. Salary Subtotal \$29,700 0 0 \$29,700 \$20,000 6. Employee Benefits (FICA) \$ 2,275 0 0 \$ 2,275 \$ 1,530 7. Total Personnel Costs \$31,975 0 0 \$31.975 \$21,030 **B. Non Personnel** 1. Occupancy \$ 1,800 0 \$3,000 0 \$ 3,000 2. Equipment Rental 0 \$ 1,600 \$1,600 0 \$ 1,000 3. Office Supplies 0 \$ 600 0 600 \$ 360 4. Telephone 0 \$1.800 0 \$ 1.800 \$ 2.500 5. Travel 0 0 \$ 1.800 750 750 6. Training \$ 500 0 0 \$ 500 600 \$ 7. Library 0 \$ 70 0 70 \$ 70 8. Malpractice Insurance \$ 900 0 0 900 \$ 915 9. Dues and Fees 120 100 0 0 100 \$ \$ 10. Audit 300 0 0 300 \$ 300 11. Contingent Reserve Fund for Operating 200 \$ 1,800 0 0 200 **Expenses** 12. Marketing and Promotion \$2.000 0 0 \$ 2.000 0 13. Litigation (Includes Expert Fees) 0 0 \$ 4.000 \$ 4.000 \$6,100 14. Property Acquisition 0 \$ 150 0 150 150 15. Purchase Payments 0 0 0 0 0 16. Contract Services to Clients 0 0 0 0 0 17. Contract Services to Program 0 0 0 150 0 \$ 18. Other CLE, Clinics Fund-\$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 Raising> 19. Total Non Personnel Costs \$ 4.750 \$ 7.220 \$ 6.000 \$17.970 \$18.265 C. Total Expenditures \$36,725 \$ 7,220 \$ 6,000 \$49,945 \$39,295 1. Total Program

Disbursements

2. Litigation Fund *

\$36,725

\$ 7,220

0

\$6,000

\$ 4,000

\$49,945

\$39,295

\$ 4,000 \$ 6,100

^{*}Reserves in this category are not required to be resubmitted to the IBF if not spent during the allocation calendar year.,

ANNUAL TIMETABLE FOR SUBMISSION OF FORMS AND CHECKS:

January 1: Checks distributed

Annual report, plan and grant application due to IPBC Notification of awards July 1:

November:

December 1: IBF grant agreement due and revised budget due (as needed)

LIST OF APPENDICES

- 1. District 14 Advisory Board
- 2. District 14 Participating Attorneys

LEGAL VOLUNTEERS DISTRICT 14 ADVISORY BOARD

Magistrate Ken Abbott c/o Superior Court #3 City/County Bldg.
Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Douglas Bates Attorney at Law PO Box 946 Jeffersonville, IN 47131

Linda Bourne Infolink 1301 Akers Avenue Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Leah Fink Attorney at Law 209 N. Capitol Ave. Corydon, IN 47112

Greg Gapsis V.P., Mediation First 8175 Old Vincennes Rd. Greenville, IN 47124

Shirley Hawkins Harrison Cty. Comm. Serv. P.O. Box 308 Corydon, IN 47112

Pat Jewell Lifespan Resources P.O. Box 995 New Albany, IN 47150

Birgitta Lindsey 1223 Harmony Lane, Apt. 57 Jeffersonville, IN 47130 Barbara Anderson Exec. Dir., Haven House 827 E. Maple Street Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Judge Cecile Blau Superior Court #2 City/County Bldg. Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Judge Terrence Cody Floyd Cty. Circuit Court 311 W. 1st. Street New Albany, IN 47150

Mary Fondrisi Attorney at Law 209 E. Chestnut Street Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Joni Grayson Attorney at Law 432 E. Court Ave. Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Brad Jacobs Attorney at Law 501 E. Court Avenue Jeffersonville, IN 47130

Gary Leavell Workforce Development 3110 Grant Line Rd. New Albany, IN 47150

Allen Platt Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1343 New Albany, IN 47151-1343

DISTRICT 14 ADVISORY BOARD, PAGE 2

Greg Reger Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1343 New Albany, IN 47151-1343

Tom Scifres Attorney at Law P.O. Box 468 Salem, IN 47167

Bryan Wickens Attorney at Law 120 West Spring St., Ste. 400 New Albany, IN 47120

John Woodard Attorney at Law P.O. Box 649 New Albany, IN 47151 J. Mark Robinson, Attorney at Law Indiana Legal Services 3303 Plaza Drive, Ste. 5 New Albany, IN 47150

Carrie Stiller Attorney at Law P.O. Box 8002 New Albany, IN 47151

James N. Williams Attorney at Law 2500 Brown & Williamson Tower Louisville, KY 40202

PARTICIPATING ATTORNEYS—DISTRICT 14

Clark County

Magistrate Kenneth Abbott

Darrell Adams

Frank Ballard

Rick Bartlett

Jennifer Bertrand

Maurice Byrne

Judge Vicki Carmichael

Cheryl Carpenter

Lonnie Cooper

Rachele Cummins

William Dawkins

Judith A. DeSimone

Mary E. Fondrisi

Michael T. Forsee

Anne B. Fowler

Anne Marie Galligan

Michael A. Gillenwater

N. Lisa Glickfield

Craig W. Graham

Joni Grayson

Graham Green

Samuel T. Green

J. Charles Guilfoyle

Spencer Harmon

Sandra Heeke

A. Joseph Heinz

Bradley B. Jacobs

Matthew Jacobs

George A. Jacobs

William C. Keeney

David A. Lewis

Sandra W. Lewis

Rebecca Lockard

R. Thomas Lowe

Daniel Marra

William P. McCall, III

Leslie D. Merkley

Gary D. Miller

David Mosley

Keith D. Mull

Peter Palmer

J. Mark Robinson

Ernest W. Smith
Thomas R. Thomas
Pamela K. Thompson
Leslie Vidra
Stephen W. Voelker
Andrea Wasson

Larry Wilder

PARTICIPATING ATTORNEYS—DISTRICT 14, page 2

Floyd County

Suzanne Abram

William L. Allen, III

Bart Betteau

Richard Bierly

Thomas Bird

Barry Bitzegaio

James Bourne

John Brengle

Daniel E. Burke, Jr.

Keith Conrad

Marianne Conrad

Lee Cotner

Samuel Day

Kenneth Doane

Robert H. Eichenberger

Greg Fifer

James Fifer

Julia Fifer

James L. Fischer, Jr.

Donald Forrest

Richard Fox

Barbara W. Gernert

George Gesenhues

Karen G. Goodwell

John Grannan

Sarah Criss Haines

Robert P. Hamilton

James B. Hancock

Jerry L. Hansford

Allan Hoffer

Cary J. Hurst

Matthew H. Jones

John A. Kraft

Jonathan Leachman

Todd Logsdon

Steven S. Lohmeyer

Linda Lorch

Jeffrey R. Lowe

Frank Mattox

Linda A. Mattox

William C. Moyer

Richard T. Mullineaux

Floyd County, page 2

Charles R. Murphy

Charles E. MacGregor

Michael J. McDaniel

Mike Naville

Timothy Naville

R. Gregory Neely

David Ollis

Steven K. Palmquist

Allen C. Platt, III

A. Carl Platt

Gene F. Price

Keith Pulliam

Greg Reger

Lisa Reger

Karen Renfro

Stan Robison

C. Richard Rush

Larry J. Schad

Matthew J. Schad

Sheila Seubold

Thomas W. Sinex

William E. Smith, III

J. Todd Spurgeon

Carrie K. Stiller

George Streckfus

Mike Summers

Sally Thomas

Scott Tyler

James C. Wade

Michael F. Ward

Louise Welch

Mary Jo Wetzel

Susan L. Williams

Van T. Willis

John Woodard

PARTICIPATING ATTORNEYS—DISTRICT 14, page 3

Harrison County

Maryland Austin
William Davis
John T. Evans
Leah S. Fink
Richard Harris
Gordon D. Ingle
David A. Layson
Marian M. Pearcy
Susan Schultz
Elizabeth Swearens
Patrick Thompson

Orange County

Lynne Ellis Larry Medlock

Scott County

Mike Carter
John Dietrich
Roger L. Duvall
Robert L. Houston
Judge James Kleopfer
Jennifer Lewis
Ralph Randall
Judge Nicholas South
Kerry Thompson
Mike Turner
Kristen Vandewater

Washington County

Alice Bartanen
Darlene Briscoe
Mark D. Clark
Robert G. Hamilton, II
Douglas Leatherbury
John W. Mead
Judge Frank Newkirk
Tom Scifres
Trent Thompson